

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/577,900	01/03/2007	Michael Heidan	5284MH-1	5417
22442 SHERIDAN R	7590 07/16/200 OSS PC	8	EXAMINER	
1560 BROADWAY			PEDDER, DENNIS H	
SUITE 1200 DENVER, CC	80202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DIA (Liq Co	. 00202		3612	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/16/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/577,900	HEIDAN, MICHAEL		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Dennis H. Pedder	3612		

	Defilis H. Feddel	3012				
- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHICH - Extensis after Si: - If NO po - Failure - Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH; EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION are of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.136(a), in no event, however, may a reply be time provided for epyly is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from one ply whith the six or extended period for epyly with, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDORE! by received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely field patient term adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.704(b).	I. sely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) filed on 6/25/2008.					
	his action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
.—	ince this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, pro losed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45		merits is			
Disposition	n of Claims					
4)⊠ C	laim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□ C	laim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ C	laim(s) <u>1-29</u> is/are rejected.					
	laim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)LL C	laim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application	n Papers					
9)□ Th	ne specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ TI	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 May 2006</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.					
	pplicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See					
_	eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is obj		, ,			
11)[11	ne oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1	O-152.			
-	der 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a) All b)⊠ Some * c)□ None of:	-(d) or (f).				
1	☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application 	on No				
3	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received 	d in this National	Stage			
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)					

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/06) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application. 6) Other: <u>DE10352914.4 not received</u>.

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 2. Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.
- 3. The invention regarding the operation of the elements seen in perspective view only in figures 2-6 and 8 is so inadequately disclosed that no reasonable search can be made at this time. A perspective view does not illuminate the various elements in sufficient detail to disclose how they interact with each other to form the claimed invention. Applicant discloses a "special.... control path 8" in the specification, but apparently fails to disclose how this path, which in having an arm driven along a slotted opening, which in and of itself appears fairly conventional, is connected in an operative manner to the sunshade drive 5a. Applicant may attempt to point out the details of this connection, which is the focus of claim 1 in "an electric drive associated with the at least one of said partially transparent vehicle cover and at least one shade element are moveable independently of each other...". However, based on the examiner's reading of this disclosure and because of these disclosure shortcomings, this application appears to be fatally

Art Unit: 3612

defective in lacking such details. It is forbidden in U.S. practice to add new structure or matter

to the application after the initial date of filing unless done in a new or C-I-P application.

4. Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with

the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in

the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with

which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

5. See the above discussion.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

 Claims 1-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

the invention.

8. The claims are vague and do not particularly point out and distinctly claim per the statute.

What structure constitutes "associated with" in claim 1?

9. Claim 3 defines alternative structure, contrary to statute.

10. It is unacceptable to define terms, such as "detachable fixed bearing", claims 9-10, in the

general discussion without defining this structure and its interaction with adjoining structure in

the detailed later description and claims.

11. It is applicant's responsibility to clarify the invention, both disclosure and claims and

drawings, or no patent is possible.

Art Unit: 3612

Drawings

12. The subject matter of this application admits of illustration by a drawing(s) to facilitate understanding of the invention as discussed above. Applicant is required to furnish a drawing under 37 CFR 1.81(e). No new matter may be introduced in the required drawing. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d).

13. The drawings in this application fail to illustrate the details of the structure of figures 2-6 and 8 in sufficient detail to enable one to make and use the invention. In essence, the drawings, in showing perspective view only, hide the details of the invention. Applicant must provide detailed figures showing the interaction of all elements of the invention is sufficient clarity to enable understanding.

Response to Arguments

- 14. Applicant's arguments filed 6/25/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding special control path 8, a conventional structure not structurally connected to adjoining structure is not an operative disclosure.
- 15. Applicant's remarks that drawings are not required in a patent application are not helpful in mechanical applications where words have not specific meanings.
- 16. As to claim 3 and the alternative structure therein, the claim sets forth distinct sunroof mechanisms in class 296, subclasses 216.04 or 216.02 or it sets forth structure of subclass 221. Which is it?

Art Unit: 3612

17. While applicant may act as his own lexicographer, it is the examiner's determination as to whether the words used by the applicant have a meaning that may be determined by one of ordinary skill in the art.

- Applicant may disagree as to the drawings sufficiency. Appeal for further opinion may now be taken.
- 19. The applicant is believed to have received a fair hearing for this inadequately disclosed invention. The examiner has issued over 600 patents in this area of technology over 20 years of examination experience and always attempts to give fair consideration to applicant. However, it is necessary for the applicant to fairly disclose the invention for any patent to issue.

Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3612

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis H. Pedder whose telephone number is (571) 272-6667. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn D. Dayoan can be reached on (571) 272-6659. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Dennis H. Pedder/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3612 Dennis H. Pedder Primary Examiner Art Unit 3612

DHP 7/14/2008